

## Opinion 2/13 (2014)

判例集 39 を踏まえて以下を読むこと。

関連条文 EU 条約 6 条 1 項・2 項、24 条 1 項  
EU 運営条約 218 条 11 項、275 条、344 条  
[EU 基本権憲章](#) 52 条 3 項、53 条  
[ヨーロッパ人権条約](#) 33 条・59 条 2 項  
加入条約案 ([この文書](#)の Appendix I)

ECHR = European Convention on Human Rights

ECtHR = European Court of Human Rights

### 意見抜粋

180. [...] [A]s a result of the EU's accession the ECHR, like any other international agreement concluded by the EU, would, by virtue of Article 216(2) TFEU, be binding upon the institutions of the EU and on its Member States, and would therefore form an integral part of EU law.
204. [...] Consequently, where EU law is at issue, the Court of Justice has exclusive jurisdiction in any dispute between the Member States and between those Member States and the EU regarding compliance with the ECHR.
205. [...] [T]he procedure for the resolution of disputes provided for in Article 33 of the ECHR could apply to any Contracting Party and, therefore, also to disputes between the Member States, or between those Member States and the EU, even though it is EU law that is in issue.
208. The very existence of such a possibility undermines the requirement set out in Article 344 TFEU.
213. In those circumstances, only the express exclusion of the ECtHR's jurisdiction under Article 33 of the ECHR over disputes between Member States or between Member States and the EU in relation to the application of the ECHR within the scope *ratione materiae* of EU law would be compatible with Article 344 TFEU.
252. [A]s EU law now stands, certain acts adopted in the context of the CFSP fall outside the ambit of judicial review by the Court of Justice.<sup>1</sup>
253. That situation is inherent to the way in which the Court's powers are structured by the Treaties, and, as such, can only be explained by reference to EU law alone.
254. Nevertheless, on the basis of accession as provided for by the agreement envisaged, the ECtHR would be empowered to rule on the compatibility with the ECHR of certain acts,

---

<sup>1</sup> 参照、第 5 回「EU 裁判所」予習課題資料 1 頁「I. EU 司法制度の特質」。

actions or omissions performed in the context of the CFSP, and notably of those whose legality the Court of Justice cannot, for want of jurisdiction, review in the light of fundamental rights.

255. Such a situation would effectively entrust the judicial review of those acts, actions or omissions on the part of the EU exclusively to a non-EU body, albeit that any such review would be limited to compliance with the rights guaranteed by the ECHR.
257. Therefore, although that is a consequence of the way in which the Court's powers are structured at present, the fact remains that the agreement envisaged fails to have regard to the specific characteristics of EU law with regard to the judicial review of acts, actions or omissions on the part of the EU in CFSP matters.